

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Jenna Bourgeois, et al.,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 City of Mesa, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

No. CV-24-03400-PHX-JFM

**ORDER**

15 This matter was assigned to Magistrate Judge James F. Metcalf. (Doc. 3). On  
16 January 13, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this  
17 Court.<sup>1</sup> (Doc. 14). The Magistrate Judge has recommended that Plaintiff JP Christopher  
18 LP be dismissed without prejudice. To date, no objections have been filed.

19  
20 <sup>1</sup> This case is assigned to a Magistrate Judge. However, not all parties have  
21 consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge. Thus, the matter is before this Court  
pursuant to General Order 21-25, which states in relevant part:

22 When a United States Magistrate Judge to whom a civil action has been  
23 assigned pursuant to Local Rule 3.7(a)(1) considers dismissal to be  
24 appropriate but lacks the jurisdiction to do so under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1)  
due to incomplete status of election by the parties to consent or not consent  
to the full authority of the Magistrate Judge,

25 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge will prepare a Report and  
Recommendation for the Chief United States District Judge or designee.

26 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** designating the following District Court  
27 Judges to review and, if deemed suitable, to sign the order of dismissal on  
my behalf:

28 Phoenix/Prescott: Senior United States District Judge Stephen M.  
McNamee

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have fourteen days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate’s recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo* review of the Magistrate Judge’s factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s conclusion “is a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal.” Id.

## DISCUSSION

Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation.


## CONCLUSION

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth,

**IT IS ORDERED adopting** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (Doc. 14).

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED dismissing without prejudice** Plaintiff JP Christopher LP from this action.

Dated this 3rd day of February, 2025.

  
 Stephen M. McNamee  
 Senior United States District Judge